DoD and the AKN: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How

DoD AKN Quarterly Regional Training
19-21 August 2025
Camp Edwards, MAARNG, Bourne, MA

Sam Veloz
Dianne Miller

Elizabeth Neipert
Zoe Duran

Caitlyn Gillespie
Nora Honkomp

Point Blue Conservation Science

ERDC ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Klamath Bird Observatory



Northern Parula, Indian Head, MD; Photo: Seth Berry

DoD and the AKN: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How

DoD AKN Quarterly Regional Training
19-21 August 2025
Camp Edwards, MAARNG, Bourne, MA

Sam Veloz
Dianne Miller

Elizabeth Neipert
Zoe Duran

Caitlyn Gillespie
Nora Honkomp

Point Blue Conservation Science

ERDC ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Klamath Bird Observatory

Northern Parula, Indian Head, MD; Photo: Seth Berry

WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS, AND LOGISTICS

Who are **we**?

Who are **you**? (we'll call on you)

- Name, Installation, Position
- Have you collected avian data yourself before?
- What type of avian data you collect?
- How would you like to use AKN?

INTRODUCTIONS

Peregrine Falcon, San Clemente Island, CA; Photo: Nicole Desnoyers

A FEW LOGISTICS…

- The Agenda with links (bookmark this):
  pointblue.github.io/dod_workshop
- Session: interactive & casual
- Office hours: 16 hours monthly, sign up here

https://www.dodakn.org/office-hours-booking-page/



- Parking lot items

DoD AKN PORTAL

https://www.dodakn.org/



PLUS – DELTA METHOD

- Feedback from workshop to continue to improve

+ PLUS	Δ DELTA
What went well and should be continued or repeated	What could be changed or improved for future iterations.



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
3400 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3400

ENERGY, INSTALLATIONS, AND ENVIRONMENT

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
(ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
(ENVIRONMENT AND MISSION READINESS)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE
(ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND INFRASTRUCTURE)

SUBJECT: Department of Defense Avian Knowledge Network Program

The Department of Defense (DoD), like other federal agencies, has significant regulatory, management, and stewardship responsibilities related to migratory birds. These requirements are driven primarily by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), the "Military Readiness Rule" (50 CFR § 21.15, Authorization of take incidental to military readiness activities) and Executive Order (EO) 13186 "Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds." In accordance with EO 13186, DoD has also established a Memorandum of Understanding with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service outlining the management and stewardship activities DoD will implement for migratory bird conservation. All DoD natural resources conservation programs support DoD access to its land, air, and water resources for realistic military training and testing and to sustain the long-term ecological integrity of the resource base and the ecosystem services it provides, in accordance with the Sikes Act. Collecting data and information from ongoing surveys, inventories, and monitoring are essential to make informed management decisions, efficiently and effectively meet regulatory requirements (e.g., the MBTA, the Sikes Act), conduct environmental analyses, and support planning to adaptively manage migratory bird populations in the context of mission activities. As such, the DoD spends millions of dollars annually to collect these data.

However, even with the collection of large amounts of data, DoD faces significant challenges to fully utilize and optimize our avian data. These challenges include: (1) inefficient access to data for regulatory requirements, environmental analyses, and planning; (2) a lack of visibility on avian species population trends and management across the Military Services and broader landscapes; and (3) a lack of a centralized, secure data repository resulting in data loss during personnel turnover.

To address these challenges, DoD began partnering with other federal agencies (i.e., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service) in the development of the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN) in 2016. The AKN is a national clearinghouse for avian data and decision support tool for assessing bird population health, status and trends, specific stressors, and conservation measures. The AKN connects partner datasets, includes metadata and data assumptions, contains powerful data analysis tools, and is a permanent archive of all data records.



This office fully endorses the use of AKN and requests that each DoD Component utilize AKN to the maximum extent practicable and provide staff the support needed to make AKN the best tool for DoD. A coordinated and comprehensive approach to implement DoD's participation in the AKN will directly support the military mission and improve the quality and effectiveness of bird conservation on DoD installations. For DoD to fully employ the power of AKN, user training and significant initial data management is required. This office, through the DoD Legacy Resource Management Program, is committed to providing baseline support and resources to help implement AKN. This support will provide training and education for personnel, and technical assistance related to system use and data management. The DoD AKN Director is Ms. Elizabeth Neipert, at elizabeth.s.neipert@erdc.dren.mil or 907-201-6244.

Additionally, a national Cooperative Agreement has been established to support AKN implementation. It provides a streamlined process for DoD Components and installations to contribute additional resources to meet their unique needs.

The point of contact for this office is Ms. Liz Galli-Noble, DoD Senior Natural Resources Program Manager and Legacy Resource Management Program Manager, elizabeth.j.galli-noble.civ@mail.mil or 406-581-8148

KIDD.RICHARD.GOODWIN.IV.1163856081

Richard G. Kidd IV
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Environment and Energy Resilience)

2

DoD MANDATE MEMO

WHY?

DoD & AKN

DOD DATA ISSUES

(1) Inefficient access to data for regulatory requirements, environmental analyses, and planning

(2) Lack of visibility on avian species population trends and management across the Military Services and broader landscapes

(3) Lack of a centralized, secure data repository resulting data loss during personnel turnover





WHY?

DoD & AKN

AKN DATA SOLUTIONS

(1) Allowing efficient access to data for regulatory requirements, environmental analyses, and planning

(2) Creating visibility on avian species population trends and management across the Services and broader landscapes and

(3) Offering secure, centralized data repository and archive





LEGAL DRIVERS

- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (PL 65-186; 16 USC 703 *et seq*.)
- Sikes Act (PL 105-85, as amended through 2004 including PL 108-136; 16 USC 670 *et seq*.)
- Executive Order 13186 *Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds*
- National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. (PL. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. 4321-4347, as amended by Pub. L. 94-52)
- Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531-1544, 87 Stat. 884), as amended – PL. 93-205
- DoD Instruction 4715.03, *Natural Resources Conservation Program*
  - Prioritize species
  - Facilitate and encourage collaboration with partners
  - Focus research and planning efforts
  - Increase information sharing

DOD AKN STRATEGIC APPROACH

- DoD AKN Program Management Plan
- OSD and Military Services Support
- Status of DoD Data
- Data Initiatives
- DoD-Specific and AKN Tools
- Priority Tasks for FY25

DoD AKN Program

Task Categories

Driving Avian Data Questions

1. What species have confirmed occurrence on my installation?
2. What species have the potential to occur on my installation?
3. What species may occur/persist on my installation in the future?
4. How are species "doing" (population metrics) on my installation? (AKA "Is my INRMP effective?")
   How are species doing across the military? (AKA "Is the DoD conservation program effective?")
5. What is driving population trends on my installation? Are there conservation measures/actions within my INRMP that are working more than others to reach identified conservation objectives?
6. What is the relative conservation responsibility of avian species on military installations?

DOD MISSION BENEFITS

- **Secure database** to input/upload, curate, and manage DoD field data
- **Empowers historical data**, avoid redundant surveys
- **Assess status** of birds on/near installations
- **Monitor trends** at multiple scales
- Enhances **resource efficiency**, maximize **return on investment**
- **Data sharing** and integration with **partners**
- Access to data that contributes to more **scientifically sound INRMPs**, and more **streamlined** NEPA environmental reviews, and ESA Section 7 Consultation – red**uces land use restrictions**
- Contributes directly to **proactive conservation**, monitoring and management of priority bird species

Enabling the Mission, Defending the Resources

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE



Objective: population trends



Objective: restoration & management

SURVEY OBJECTIVES



APPROPRIATE METHODOLOGIES

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE



FIELD SAMPLING-OBSERVATIONS

FIELD FORM-DATA

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE



DATA ENTRY
QA/QC

Observations

Species observations with details, layout and titles dependent on protocol.

Quick Tips >>

☐ Separate observations on individual rows
☐ Scroll observations (not compatible with all browsers)

Observation Protocol: VCP100Sx
Total Birds Counted: 7

Download CSV

Point	Time	Species	Time Bin	Count	Detection	Distance	AnimalSex	Notes	
DI-10A	07:21	RWBL	1	1	S	52	Male		✖
DI-10A	07:21	RWBL	1	1	V	52	Male		✖
DI-10A	07:22	TUVU	2	1	V	FLO			✖
DI-10A	07:24	LASP	4	1	V	26			✖
DI-10A	07:24	LASP	4	1	V	94			✖
DI-10A	07:25	LASP	5	1	C	53			✖

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE

ANALYSIS

Total Number of Observations of each Species by Year

copy table to: CSV HTML DOC PDF

Common Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
American Crow	0	7	2	0	5	25	11	5	1	11	0
American Dipper	1	5	7	2	5	1	1	3	3	3	6
American Goldfinch	0	33	0	17	28	15	38	8	21	8	36
American Kestrel	0										
American Pipit	5										
American Redstart	0										
American Robin	44										
American Three-toed Woodpecker	2										
Audubon's Warbler	55										
Bald Eagle	0										
Band-tailed Pigeon	0										
Barn Swallow	0										
Barred Owl	1										



FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE

Installations



Military Service Branch





Department of Defense



ANALYSIS AT MULTIPLE SCALES

FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE



FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE



FULL AVIAN DATA LIFE CYCLE







PERMANENT STORAGE & ACCESS

CAN YOU LOG IN?

Biologists:

data.pointblue.org/science/biologists

Problems logging in?

Best to find a buddy or have one of us help!

Eastern Whip-poor-will, Ft Drum, NY; Photo:Jeff Bolsinger

LIMITATIONS AND CAVEATS

Focus for this training: Point Count data

Office hours are where we can dig deep into your installation's specific projects, data needs, and issues.

AKN PROJECT DATA 101

AKN: COMMON CLOUD TECHNOLOGY





FOCUS FOR THIS TRAINING

How do we represent protocol-based science?

How do you get data in?

How do you get your data & information out?

What conservation questions can you answer?

MA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

- Biologist leaving position one week after training
- Had 20+ years of data
- Working through data, realized inconsistencies in data entry
- Standardized protocol in system, showing required fields to be utilized in all future surveys
- Successfully uploaded 35,000 records prior to leaving DoD
- Data ready and available to successor

AKN PROJECT DATA 101

1. The parts of a Project Database

2. The workflow for creating and managing a Project

Ruddy Turnstone, Dam Neck Annex, VA; Photo: Paul Block

THE PROJECT DATABASE



WORKFLOW FOR MANAGING A PROJECT



WORKFLOW FOR MANAGING A PROJECT



PROJECT

Container for Event and Observation data

Many ways to organize

For DoD: Project = Installation*

1. Project Database

WHY?

DoD Program Structure



*National Guard Projects = State Installation = Study Area

DoD Program Structure

Air Force Subprogram	Army Subprogram	Navy Subprogram	Marine Subprogram	National Guard Subprogram
Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases

HESP Abundance Trends:

Installation-level (Project)



DoD Program Structure

Air Force Subprogram	Army Subprogram	Navy Subprogram	Marine Subprogram	National Guard Subprogram
Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases	Installation Project Databases

HESP Abundance Trends:

Installation-level (Project)



Military Service Subprogram-level



DoD Program Structure

Air Force Subprogram
Installation Project Databases

Army Subprogram
Installation Project Databases

Navy Subprogram
Installation Project Databases

Marine Subprogram
Installation Project Databases

National Guard Subprogram
Installation Project Databases

HESP Abundance Trends:

Installation-level (Project)



Military Service Subprogram-level



DoD Program-level



CASE STUDY:

BARRY M. GOLDWATER RANGE (BMGR), AZ

- Participating in Desert Thrasher Working Group
- Existing protocol in the AKN system
- Critical to add data to DoD-owned project
- Conducted surveys and entered data into BMGR project using desert thrasher data entry protocol available in AKN
- Shared data with thrasher initiative
- Data will show when querying DoD data for this species

Photo: Le Conte's Thrasher, Anza Borrego, CA; Credit: Charles Van Tassle

BREAK

NEXT: PROJECT PROTOCOLS

MANAGING A PROJECT: PROTOCOLS



PROTOCOLS



The *metadata* describing the methods and mechanics of **how** observations were collected

Should provide enough information for researcher 20 years from now to understand the methods you used

HOW?

2c. Assign Protocols

FIELD METHODS vs. AKN PROTOCOLS

A Survey Protocol for Pinyon Jay Road-based Point Count Surveys

Version 1, March 2023

The road-based survey is a useful method of surveying for Pinyon Jays under certain circumstances and can be used in conjunction with or as an alternative to the Pinyon Jay Working Group (PJWG) pedestrian-based area search survey protocol (Boone et al. 2023; https://partnersinflight.org/resources/pinyon-jay-working-group/). Table 1 compares the two protocols.

The PJWG protocol consists of pedestrian surveys of 2.5 x 2.5 km blocks. That method is useful for discrete survey areas known or suspected to have Pinyon Jays, surveys with adequate personnel and funding to complete the time-intensive pedestrian surveys, and areas where foot traffic is allowed.

In some situations, however, road-based surveys may be more appropriate than pedestrian surveys. For example, pedestrian surveys or multiple visits may not be feasible in areas where no information on Pinyon Jay presence exists; in extremely large, previously un-surveyed areas; when financial and personnel resources are limited; or where foot traffic is prohibited, such as some areas on DoD installations.

The key elements of the road-based protocol are:

1) Use of 5 x 5 km blocks as the primary survey units. For analysis consistent with 2.5 km surveys, 5 km blocks can be sub-divided into 2.5 km blocks ("plots" in the PJWG protocol).

   The 5 km blocks (25 km^2) are recommended because of the greater geographical coverage possible with road-based surveys. This block size is based on radio telemetry studies of Pinyon Jay flocks and approximates known breeding season home ranges. [Examples include: 43.05 km^2 (including two colonies of 16.67 km^2 and 26.38 km^2) (Johnson et al. 2014); 25.51 km^2 (Johnson et al. 2014); 26.99 km^2 (Novak 2019).] It is designed to reduce the possibility that a) a single flock moving over its home range will be counted two or more times, and b) more than one flock will be counted as a single flock (resulting in undercounts in a survey block that is too large). Either double-counting or under-counting is undesirable for a species of conservation concern, where accurate population estimates, trends, and occupancy are necessary for conservation and management. Road-based sampling can cover a block rapidly, before a flock can move into a neighboring block, potentially reducing both types of errors.

2) Delineation of survey routes along selected roads within the blocks (Figure 1). Blocks must include enough accessible road length to allow at least three point-count stops. If blocks will be subdivided into 2.5 km blocks for analysis, it is desirable to include at least three point-count stops in each 2.5 km block analyzed. However, this may not be possible

Sampling Protocol Definition

Protocol: PIJA_6min

Description	Road-side Point Count PIJA protocol
URL	No URL
Duration	6 minutes
Protocol type	PointCount
Other characteristics	Binned distance protocol

Detection Cues

Summary: A (Aural), NA (Not recorded on datasheet), V (Visual), VA (Both Visual and Aural)

Cue	Description
A	Aural
NA	Not recorded on datasheet
V	Visual
VA	Both Visual and Aural

Distance Bins

HOW?

2c. Assign Protocols

PRIMARY PROTOCOL TYPES



Area Search



Point Count



Linear Transect



Secretive Marshbird

Site Conditions

2c. Assign Protocols

HOW?

PROTOCOL TYPES DISCUSSION

Point Counts

Area Search

2c. Assign Protocols — HOW?


Is your data-type currently supported in AKN??
Secretive Marshbird surveys that use playback
I collect habitat and weather data associated with my bird surveys
Linear transects (walking or road) that don't have defined stopping points
Standard multi-species point count surveys
Select species survey that uses playback
Single or select species surveys that follow a point-count methodology
Area search
eBird checklists
Species checklists
Colonial waterbirds
Incidental observations not associated with formal surveys
Raptor surveys
SITE CONDITIONS
SECRETIVE MARSHBIRDS
LINEAR TRANSECT
POINT COUNTS
AREA SEARCH
SUPPORTED!
BASH Surveys of airfields for living birds
BASH Mortality data
Acoustic Recording Unit (ARU) data
Territory mapping or spot mapping
Color banding and resighting
Animal movements (e.g., telemetry data or Motus)
Nest monitoring
Bird capture and/or banding
MOTUS data
Other demographic data (e.g., fledgling counts, nest counts, brood data)
I collect data that I'm not sure fits into one of these formats
I have a species-specific protocol that is a mix of two or more of these methods
IT'S COMPLICATED...
We may or may not have a protocol for you, but we need a little more information. Consult with an AKN team member for advice.
CONTACT US
NOT SUPPORTED ...YET!
But don't worry! Our team is constantly updating available protocols, so let's touch base and see what we can do!

POINT COUNT PROTOCOLS

Duration of survey at each point

Time (binned)

Distance (binned or exact), maximum

Detection codes

Can include **breeding behavior**

Assumed **exhaustive** survey

Each animal **counted once**

HOW?

2c. Assign Protocols

POINT COUNT PROTOCOL EXAMPLES

Compare how 3 field methodologies are represented:

- Bird Conservancy of the Rockies IMBCR protocol
- Point Blue protocol
- KBO protocol

2c. Assign Protocols — HOW?

HOW TO ACHIEVE CONFLICTING CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES: *THE IMPORTANCE OF STANDARD PROTOCOLS*



ASSESSING RAIL RESPONSE TO MANAGEMENT: THE CHALLENGE

Coastal Conservancy

Avocet Research Associates

U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE — DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH&GAME

SAN FRANCISCO ESTUARY INVASIVE SPARTINA PROJECT

Multi-partner Effort

Point Blue

DEVELOPING A STANDARD PROTOCOL

- North American Marsh Bird Protocol-SF Bay
  - 10 min broadcast point count
- Long-term dataset since 2005
- **All partner data in the AKN**


U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
U.S. Department of the Interior
National Wildlife Refuge System
Point Blue Conservation Science

Site-specific Protocol for Monitoring Marsh Birds

Don Edwards San Francisco Bay and
San Pablo Bay National Wildlife Refuges

Survey ID Numbers: FF08RSFB00-003 and FF08RSNP00-008



CHOOSING PROTOCOLS (DEMONSTRATION)

Goal: select Point Count and Site Conditions protocols that match data sheet

Tools:

- Our data sheet
- Protocol search tool
- Project Leaders for adding protocol to project

MANAGING A PROJECT: SAMPLING UNITS



SAMPLING UNITS

The locations where observations are collected

Organized into a tree (hierarchy)

Uniquely named with Project

Can have Point/Line/Polygon

SAMPLING UNIT TYPES FOR POINT COUNTS

Project: DOD_DEMO



Replicates or independent points?
What questions are you asking?

SAMPLING UNIT TYPES FOR POINT COUNTS

Project: DOD_DEMO



Replicates or independent points?
What questions are you asking?

SAMPLING UNIT TYPES FOR POINT COUNTS

Project: DOD_DEMO



Example- Single-point Transects (independent points)

DUGWAY_PROVING_GROUND - [DOD_ARMY] Dugway Proving Ground

Click on the Sampling Unit or Project you want to select (it will highli
tree node and the tooltip will tell you what type of feature it is.

- DUGWAY_PROVING_GROUND - [DOD_ARMY] Dugway Proving Ground
  - IMBCR (IMBCR)
    - IMBCR All Other DoD Lands (UT-BCR9-DD)
    - IMBCR APG (UT-BCR9-AP)
    - IMBCR DoD Lands Pre Restratification (UT-BCR9-DO)
    - IMBCR Mud Flat Pre Restratification (UT-BCR9-MF)
    - IMBCR Mudflats (UT-BCR9-MU)
    - IMBCR Target S (UT-BCR9-TS)
    - IMBCR UTG (UT-BCR9-UR)
    - IMBCR UTTR (UT-BCR9-UT)
  - PIJA Landscape Survey (PIJA)



DUGWAY_PROVING_GROUND - [DOD_ARMY] Dugway Proving Ground
- IMBCR (IMBCR)
  - IMBCR All Other DoD Lands (UT-BCR9-DD)
    - UT-BCR9-DD1 (DD1)
    - UT-BCR9-DD10 (DD10)
    - UT-BCR9-DD13 (DD13)
    - UT-BCR9-DD18 (DD18)
    - UT-BCR9-DD22 (DD22)
    - UT-BCR9-DD3 (DD3)
    - UT-BCR9-DD30 (DD30)
    - UT-BCR9-DD4 (DD4)
    - UT-BCR9-DD5 (DD5)
    - UT-BCR9-DD6 (DD6)
      - DD6-1 (DD6-1)
      - DD6-10 (DD6-10)
      - DD6-11 (DD6-11)
      - DD6-12 (DD6-12)
      - DD6-13 (DD6-13)
      - DD6-14 (DD6-14)
      - DD6-15 (DD6-15)
      - DD6-16 (DD6-16)
      - DD6-2 (DD6-2)
      - DD6-3 (DD6-3)
      - DD6-4 (DD6-4)
      - DD6-5 (DD6-5)
      - DD6-6 (DD6-6)
      - DD6-7 (DD6-7)
      - DD6-8 (DD6-8)
      - DD6-9 (DD6-9)
    - UT-BCR9-DD9 (DD9)
  - IMBCR APG (UT-BCR9-AP)
  - IMBCR DoD Lands Pre Restratification (UT-BCR9-DO)
  - IMBCR Mud Flat Pre Restratification (UT-BCR9-MF)
  - IMBCR Mudflats (UT-BCR9-MU)
  - IMBCR Target S (UT-BCR9-TS)
  - IMBCR UTG (UT-BCR9-UR)
  - IMBCR UTTR (UT-BCR9-UT)
  - PIJA Landscape Survey (PIJA)

- PIJA Landscape Survey (PIJA)
  - PIJA1 (1)
  - PIJA10 (10)
  - PIJA11 (11)
  - PIJA12 (12)
  - PIJA13 (13)
  - PIJA14 (14)
  - PIJA15 (15)
  - PIJA16 (16)
  - PIJA17 (17)
  - PIJA18 (18)
  - PIJA19 (19)
  - PIJA2 (2)
  - PIJA20 (20)
  - PIJA21 (21)
  - PIJA22 (22)
  - PIJA23 (23)
  - PIJA24 (24)
  - PIJA25 (25)
  - PIJA26 (26)
  - PIJA27 (27)
  - PIJA28 (28)

= Point Count Point = Area Search



EXAMPLE: FORT HOOD (CAVAZOS (HOOD)), TX

- Both the Adaptive and Integrative Management Team and Endangered Species Team utilizing system in one installation project
- Structured sampling units for differing survey types



MANAGING PROJECT METADATA

EXERCISE 1: CREATE SAMPLING UNITS

CREATE SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 1

Purpose: Learn how to create a sampling unit hierarchy within a project to support a point count survey

Goal: Create a new point count transect with one point under your service branch's Study Area (e.g., Navy) in the "DOD_DEMO" Project



Project: DOD_DEMO

CREATE SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 1 *(THINKING AHEAD)*

- Consider how you might organize your data
  - Study Areas can be based on survey type rather than geography:
    - Ex- Study Area 1: multi-species point counts
    - Ex- Study Area 2: burrowing owl surveys
  - Only group points together in the same transect if they are part of the same survey (geographically grouped and collected on the same day)
  - Consider how you might name your study locations based on geographic features and numbers. (e.g., Emerald Hills 1, Alpha Maneuver Area 3)
  - Remember the hierarchy for point counts is **Study Area → Transect → Point(s)**
- We will be building on this exercise later! Think about how your naming strategy can scale as you add surveys and points.

CREATE SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 1

Reminder about Study Areas: In the project DOD_DEMO, your STUDY AREA is your service branch. The name is listed first, followed by the short name in parentheses.



For example, this Study Area Name is Air Force, and the Study Area Short Name is AIRFORCE

2a. Create Sampling Units WHERE?

CREATE SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 1

Exercise 1 instructions

LONG NAME VS SHORT NAME:
WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE?

- Every sampling unit has a **Short Name** and a **Long Name**
  - Short Names and Long Names can be the same
- **Short Name** + **Long Name** combinations must be unique within an AKN Project
  - e.g., transect names and point count names **CAN NOT BE THE SAME**
- **Short Names** will be seen throughout the tools and in your data download
  - It is the shorthand name for each sampling unit
- **Short Names** are limited to 12 characters
- **Long Names** can be longer and more descriptive, if useful

LONG NAME VS SHORT NAME:
WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE?

EXAMPLES (any of these would be correct):

Point Count Transect Long Name	Point Count Transect Short Name
East Training Area	ETA
East Training Area 1	ETA-1
ETA	ETA
ETA-1	ETA-1

BREAK

NEXT: DOWNLOADING SAMPLING UNITS & ADDING RESEARCHERS

DOWNLOAD SAMPLING UNITS DEMONSTRATION

Download sampling units:

- Project Leaders for download sampling unit locations to GPS, GIS and more

MANAGING A PROJECT: RESEARCHERS



RESEARCHERS

People identified in a Project for getting access and/or who made observations

Created by user registration (for active users) or manual entry (for historical data)

WHO?

2b. Assign Researchers

RESEARCHER TYPES

Project Leader: the data owner, has full control over data, metadata, and who gets Project access

Biologist: can enter and review data in the Project

2b. Assign Researchers

WHO?

RESEARCHERS & PROJECT ACCESS

Access Project assigned by Project Leader



Jane: Project Leader
Mike: Biologist
Pat: Biologist
Sara: Biologist



Zac: Project Leader
Meg: Project Leader
Pat: Biologist
Sara: Project Leader

2b. Assign Researchers WHO?

RESEARCHERS & PROJECT ACCESS

DoD will also have a Program Leader

(managed by Point Blue staff w/ guidance from DoD leadership)



2b. Assign Researchers WHO?

ADDING RESEARCHERS DEMONSTRATION

Tools:

- Project Leader for adding researchers to Project

2b. Assign Researchers

WHO?

QUESTIONS ON MANAGING PROJECT METADATA?



MANAGING OBSERVATION DATA

WORKFLOW FOR MANAGING A PROJECT



MANAGING A PROJECT: OBSERVATION DATA



SAMPLING EVENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Event: survey at a Sampling Unit using a Protocol by Researcher at a specific date and time

Observation: one or more individuals of a single species detected during an Event

REVIEW LEVELS FOR EACH EVENT

Steps to enter and review data in preparation for sharing



Project Biologist enters a new event and observations

Event is **RAW**

Data entered

Event is **CLEAN**

Data 1st review by Biologist

Event is **AVAILABLE**

Data final review

Event is **RESTRICTED**

Data final review and not to be shared

MANAGING OBSERVATION DATA THROUGH BIOLOGIST

- Data entry using Biologist
  - Best for direct entry into the system



Datasheet



Web-based Form

MANAGING OBSERVATION DATA

EXERCISE 2: ENTER & PROOF POINT COUNT EVENT

ENTER & PROOF POINT COUNT EVENT
EXERCISE 2

Purpose: Learn how to enter survey data and site conditions in Biologists and proof those data

Goal: Enter the sample data for the sampling unit you created in Exercise 1, save it, and proof those data



ENTER & PROOF POINT COUNT EVENT

EXERCISE 2 *(THINKING AHEAD)*

- Data marked Clean through proofing will load into the data warehouse, making it available to analysis tools
- As Project Leader, you will:
  - Grant users who collect data access to Biologists to enter and proof their own data
  - Add protocols to the project and manage sampling units



ENTER & PROOF POINT COUNT EVENT
EXERCISE 2

Exercise 2 instructions



DOWNLOAD POINT COUNT OBSERVATION DATA FROM PROJECT DEMONSTRATION

Tools:

- Project Leaders for download observations from our Project

BULK UPLOADING METADATA & OBSERVATION DATA INTO A PROJECT

BULK LOADING DATA

Loading spreadsheet data into the Project Database for loading Researchers, Sampling Units, and Observations

Observations: Uses definition file to describe how your spreadsheet maps into AKN

BATCH PROCESSING







BULK UPLOADING PROJECT DATA

EXERCISE 3: BULK UPLOADING SAMPLING UNITS

BULK UPLOADING SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 3

Purpose: Build on exercise 1 using the Bulk Upload tool to create multiple sampling units at once for a given survey type

Goal: Bulk upload a new point onto your existing transect and add a new transect with three points

BULK UPLOADING SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 3 *(THINKING AHEAD)*

- The Bulk Uploader tool is useful when you have many sampling units to add to your project.
- The Bulk Uploader tool can add sampling units to an existing hierarchy or create an entirely new hierarchy *(remember our discussion thinking about Study Areas!)*
- The Bulk Uploader tool can also add Researchers or Observation data to your project
  - Note: we will not be covering those use cases today but can assist in office hours

BULK UPLOADING SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 3

Exercise 3 instructions

BULK UPLOADING SAMPLING UNITS
EXERCISE 3

Tip: Go back to Project Leader (Sampling Units-> Create and Manage) and take a look at the sampling unit you already created in Exercise 1. In this exercise, we'll create a new point under the existing transect, plus add a new transect with new points.

After Exercise 1:



After Exercise 3:



Make sure you are using the same Study Area (your service branch) for Exercise 3!

In this example, the Study Area Name is Navy and the Study Area Short Name is NAVY

Study Area: Navy
Point Count Transect: CRG
Point Count Point: CRG_1

LONG NAME VS SHORT NAME:
WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE?

- Every sampling unit has a **Short Name** and a **Long Name**
  - Short Names and Long Names can be the same
- **Short Name** + **Long Name** combinations must be unique within an AKN Project
  - e.g., transect names and point count names **CAN NOT BE THE SAME**
- **Short Names** will be seen throughout the tools and in your data download
  - It is the shorthand name for each sampling unit
- **Short Names** are limited to 12 characters
- **Long Names** can be longer and more descriptive, if useful

LONG NAME VS SHORT NAME:
WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE?

EXAMPLES (any of these would be correct):

Point Count Transect Long Name	Point Count Transect Short Name
East Training Area	ETA
East Training Area 1	ETA-1
ETA	ETA
ETA-1	ETA-1

EXAMPLE: FORT CARSON, CO

FORT_CARSON - [DOD_ARMY] Fort Carson Point Counts open new project

Click on the Sampling Unit or Project you want to select (it will highlight yellow). Open any part of the tree to get to more detail. Hover on a tree node and the tooltip will tell you what type of feature it is.

- FORT_CARSON - [DOD_ARMY] Fort Carson Point Counts
  - Fort Carson Marshbird (FC_MB)
    - Cottonwood Spring (MB_COTTON)
    - Frog Pond (MB_FROG)
    - Haymes Reservoir (MB_HAYMES)
    - Infantry Creek East (MB_INFEAST)
    - Infantry Creek West (MB_INFWEST)
    - K Ditch (MB_KDITCH)
    - Lytle Spring (MB_LYTLE)
    - Middle School Area (MB_MIDSCHOOL)
    - MSR 1 / Range 113 (MB_RG113)
    - North B Ditch (MB_BDITCH)
    - Northside Reservoir (MB_NORTHSIDE)
    - Range 04 (MB_RG04)
    - Range 08 (MB_RG08)
    - Training Area 05 / Rock Creek (MB_TA05)
    - Training Area 08 / AHA (MB_TA08)
    - Womack Reservoir (MB_WOMACK)
  - Fort Carson Point Count (CARSON_PC)
    - Bird Farm (Grassland) (GB_BF)
    - TA 08 (Grassland) (GB_TA08)
    - TA 10 (Grassland) (GB_TA10)
    - TA 15 (Grassland) (GB_TA15)
    - TA 24 (Grassland) (GB_TA24)
    - TA 28 (PJ) (PJ_TA28)
    - TA 29 (PJ) (PJ_TA29)
    - TA 30 (Grassland) (GB_TA30)
    - TA 30 (PJ) (PJ_TA30)
    - TA 31 (Grassland) (GB_TA31)

Add Sampling Units under the highlighted feature with:

online form | GPS-U file | Waypoint Plus file | KML file | SHP file

Update Sampling Unit geometry under the highlighted feature using:

GPS-U file | Waypoint Plus file | KML file | SHP file

Manage the highlighted feature:

edit | move in hierarchy | delete

Give Us Your Feedback

Preferences

How Do I...

- ... create a new Transect of points from a GPS-U file?
- ... handle the message "Error on Add: Duplicate entry ..."?
- ... update points in a transect using a GPS-U file??

Project Protocols

open new project — **FORT_CARSON - [DOD_ARMY] Fort Carson Point Counts**

add one

Print *Copy table to:* CSV HTML DOC PDF

Protocol Id	Protocol Name	Protocol Type
ARSE_PIJA	Area search survey standard protocol from the PIJA Working Group	AreaSearch
BI_S_V_BI	BLRA,SORA,VIRA,BLTA	SecretiveMarshBirdCount
IMBCR_VRPC	Bird Conservancy of the Rockies IMBCR 6 min count	PointCount
SiteConditions_FOR	Site conditions temperature, wind, sky, noise	SiteConditions
SiteConditions_PIJA	Site Conditions PIJA protocol developed by the PIJA Working Group	SiteConditions
SPCH_LOCATION	Species checklist with coordinates	AreaSearch
VRPC__10min_2TB	Variable radius point count with detection cues lasting 10 minutes with 2 timebin and sex	PointCount

ANY QUESTIONS ON MANAGING PROJECT DATA?



AKN DATA SHARING LEVELS

WORKFLOW FOR MANAGING A PROJECT



MANAGING A PROJECT: DATA SHARING LEVELS



DATA SHARING LEVELS

Defines how visible each observation is for querying, summarizing, visualizing, and analyzing.

Controlled by the Project Leader within each Project

5. Assign Data Sharing Levels

REVIEW LEVELS FOR EACH EVENT

Steps to enter and review data in preparation for sharing



Project Biologist enters a new event and observations

Event is **RAW**

Data entered

Event is **CLEAN**

Data 1st review by Biologist

Event is **AVAILABLE**

Data final review

Event is **RESTRICTED**

Data final review and not to be shared

DATA SHARING LEVELS FOR EACH EVENT

Choices informs the Point Blue Science Cloud tools of your intentions.



5. Assign Data Sharing Levels

DATA SHARING AND TOOL ACCESS

Choices informs the Point Blue Science Cloud tools of your intentions.



5. Assign Data Sharing Levels

DATA SHARING AND TOOL ACCESS

Choices informs the Point Blue Science Cloud tools of your intentions.



5. Assign Data Sharing Levels

SETTING DATA SHARING LEVELS
DEMONSTRATION

Tools:

- Project Leaders to set/edit sharing levels

Sandhill Crane, Sweetwater Wetlands Preserve, FL; Photo: Paul Block

DATA SHARING LEVEL MOTIVATION

- Organizational Policy
- Federal / State Law
- Research and Right of First Publication
- Threatened / Endangered Species
- Private Landowner Agreements
- Contribute to AKN Science
- Partnership / Collaboration Development

5. Assign Data Sharing Levels

EXAMPLE: USACE-WILLAMETTE VALLEY PROJECT, OR

- New biologist took DoD AKN training at NMFWA
- Worked with DoD AKN Team to ID data within boundary
- Data was both USACE and partner data
- Created USACE WVP within USACE enterprise
- Gained access to USACE-owned data
- Reaching out to partners about sharing data within boundary



WORKFLOW FOR MANAGING A PROJECT




DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
NATURAL RESOURCES


DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA


DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
LEGACY PROGRAM

LUNCH


Point Blue
Conservation
Science


ERDC
ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER


Klamath Bird
Observatory

OFFICE HOURS

Discuss bulk loading and other issues regarding your observation data

For future virtual office hours, sign up here: https://www.dodakn.org/office-hours-booking-page/

- Next appointment dates: Sept 16 & 18

CAMP EDWARDS PRESENTATIONS

FIELD EXERCISE INTRODUCTION
&
LOGISTICS FOR TOMORROW

FIELD EXERCISE: POINT COUNT PROTOCOL

- 5-minute surveys
- Unlimited-radius point count w/ distance sampling
- Observations recorded per 1-minute intervals

FIELD SITES


Cheyenne Mountain Field Exercise Overview
A_1
A_2
A_3
A_4
B_1
B_2
B_3
B_4
C_1
C_2
C_3
C_4
D_1
D_2
D_3
D_4
E_1
E_2
E_3
E_4
Norad Rd
Buttermere Dr
Gladstone St
Broadmoor Bluffs Dr
Cheyenne Mountain Space Force Station
DoDAKN_Pederson_field exercise
0
250
500
1,000 Meters

FIELD EXERCISE: POINT COUNT PROTOCOL

- Collect data **every minute**
- Use **4-letter bird codes**
- Record **# of individuals** in same location
- **Distance** to nearest meter (*try practicing your distance estimations with a range finder!)*
- **Detection Type** (DT):
  - S=Song; C=Call; D=Drum; V=Visual; W=Wing; F=Flyover
- **Prev** (Previously Detected Individuals)
  - Use "P" is individual was detected at a previous **point**
- **Breed** (Breeding Status)
  - Use "S" to indicate if bird sang during count but was detected initially another way



Point #	Start Time	Minute	Species Code	Count	DT	Distance	Prev	Breed	Po
01	7:45	1	GRSP		S	32			
			MODO		S	18			
			WEME		S	84			
			WEKI		V	22			
		2	HOLA		C	31		S	
			CORA		C	102			
		3	GRSP		S	52			
			RNEP		C	205			
			AMRO		V	30			
		4	RTHA		V	72			
			BARS	2	V	24			
		5	NONE						

FIELD EXERCISE: AREA SEARCH PROTOCOL

- **20** minutes
- Use **4-letter bird codes**
- Record **# of individuals** in same location
- **Detection Type** (DT):
  - S=Song; C=Call; D=Drum; V=Visual; W=Wing; F=Flyover
- **On/Off Area Detections**
  - Focus on on-area detections
- **Breed** (Breeding Status)
  - Use highest breeding status observed



Area Search Data Form

Page 1 of 1

Study Area	Site Code	Site Name	Month	Day	Year
Field Exercise	A_00	A_Plot	08	28	2024

Obs. Initials	Start Time (24 hr)	End Time (24 hr)	Duration (minutes)
CRG	0825	0845	20

Species Code	Species Name Abr.	On Area Detection Type and Count	Off Area Detection Type and Count
SOSP	Song Sparrow	1V, 2C, 1S	1S
AMRO	Am. Robin	2V, 1V, Y	1S, 1C
BCCH	Black-cap. Chick.	3C, S 2V	3C
YEWA	Yellow Warbler	1S, 1V, M	1S

DATASHEET VS. BIOLOGIST DATA ENTRY FORM

Datasheet



Point Count Data Form

Page ____ of ____

Project/Region | Site Code | Site Name

Month | Day | Year | Visit | Observer | Temp. (Cel.) | Cloud Cover % | Ppt. (N,F,M,D,R) | Wind (Beaufort)

Station	Time	Species Code	Count	DT	Distance	Loc	Prev	Noise	Breed	Note#	Count	DT	Distance	Loc	Prev	Noise	Breed	Note#

- Datasheet: fields are arranged for **efficiency in the field**
- Biologist Form: all fields are entered but may not appear in exactly the same order as datasheet – <u>this is ok</u>!



Web-based Form

FIELD EXERCISE: SPECIES CHECKLIST

(EXTRA CREDIT)

- Keep a record of **all the species you observe** while you're out (including points and anything in between points)
- Instructions for creating an **area search plot** Sampling Unit in DOD_DEMO are in Extra Credit Exercise B



Species Checklist

Site _____ Observer _____

Date _____ Add'l Observers (#) _____

Start time _____ Initials: _____

End Time _____

Species	Coordinates (Optional)*

Species	Coordinates (Optional)*

Notes:

*Coordinates can be written in any format, but must be converted to WGS84 (Latitude/Longitude) to enter into the AKN.

FIELD EXERCISE: IN THE AKN

What you'll do:

- Learn how to **download a sampling unit** shapefile from your project
- Learn how to **create sampling units** by uploading shapefiles
  - You'll re-upload the shapefile you just downloaded while renaming the points so that you have your own unique sampling units for your point count data
- **Enter data**
- Thursday: Explore your own data using the **Analyst Tool** (Exercise 5)

PLUS/DELTA

DAY 1 WRAP-UP

Reminder: Bring lunch tomorrow!

END OF DAY 1

DoD and the AKN: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How

DoD AKN Quarterly Regional Training
19-21 August 2025
Camp Edwards, MAARNG, Bourne, MA

Sam Veloz
Dianne Miller

Elizabeth Neipert
Zoe Duran

Caitlyn Gillespie
Nora Honkomp

Point Blue Conservation Science

ERDC ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Klamath Bird Observatory

Northern Parula, Indian Head, MD; Photo: Seth Berry

AKN WAREHOUSE DATA 101

MANAGING A PROJECT: DATA WAREHOUSES



DATA WAREHOUSES

Database with homogenized copy of observation data, organized by sampling method for cross-project query and analysis

Project data input today shows up automatically in the warehouse the day after you enter it

Grasshopper Sparrow, Fort Drum, NY; Photo: Jeff Bolsinger

PROJECT DATA VS. WAREHOUSE DATA

UTARNG PC Data

ProjectId	ProtocolId	StudyArea	TransectName	PointName	Visit	EventDate	EventStartTime	EventEndTime	TimeBinId	TimeBin	BirdCount	BirdCd	CommonName	ScientificName	DetectionCueId	DistanceBinId	DistanceBin
UT_ARNG	3_5m50_100MFl	CW_POINTCOUN	1	1	1	6/18/2014	9:14:00	9:19:00	3	0_3min	0	CLSW	Cliff Swallow	Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	NR	L50	50
UT_ARNG	3_5m50_100MFl	CW_POINTCOUN	1	1	1	6/18/2014	9:14:00	9:19:00	3	0_3min	0	CLSW	Cliff Swallow	Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	NR	G50	50 to 100
UT_ARNG	3_5m50_100MFl	CW_POINTCOUN	1	1	1	6/18/2014	9:14:00	9:19:00	3	0_3min	1	CLSW	Cliff Swallow	Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	NR	FLY	1000
UT_ARNG	3_5m50_100MFl	CW_POINTCOUN	1	1	1	6/18/2014	9:14:00	9:19:00	5	3_5min	0	TRES	Tree Swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	NR	L50	50
UT_ARNG	3_5m50_100MFl	CW_POINTCOUN	1	1	1	6/18/2014	9:14:00	9:19:00	5	3_5min	0	TRES	Tree Swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	NR	G50	50 to 100

ProjectId	ProtocolId	ShortName	EventDate	EventTime	Habitat-Primary_Historic	Habitat-Seconday_Historic	Weather-Sky_Historic	Researcher
UT_ARNG	UT_ANG_SITE_CONDITIONS_HISTORIC	1	7/3/2005	12:51:00	oakbrush	Oak; Grassland	CLR	
UT_ARNG	UT_ANG_SITE_CONDITIONS_HISTORIC	1	7/2/2006	8:16:00	oakbrush	oak; grass	SCT	Langs, Lisa
UT_ARNG	UT_ANG_SITE_CONDITIONS_HISTORIC	1	6/9/2009	7:36:00	oakbrush	grass, oak	OVC	Laughlin, Caitlin
UT_ARNG	UT_ANG_SITE_CONDITIONS_HISTORIC	1	6/7/2016	11:06:00	Oak	Grass	BRK	Unknown, Unknown
UT_ARNG	UT_ANG_SITE_CONDITIONS_HISTORIC	1	7/3/2018	7:09:00	oakbrush	grass, oak	OVC	Smith, Rebecca

Project Database Version

Warehouse Version

ProjectCode	ProjectName	LocalityID	StudyArea	Transect	TransectName	Point	SamplingUnitId	ParentSamplingUnitI	SamplingUnitTypeName	DecimalLatitude	DecimalLongitude	Visit	ProtocolCode	ObservationDate
UT_ARNG	Utah Army National Guard	UT_ARNG:1	Camp Williams_PointCount	1	T1	1	645284	645245	Point Count Point	40.44044	-111.9853	1	3_5m50_100MFly	7/20/1997
UT_ARNG	Utah Army National Guard	UT_ARNG:1	Camp Williams_PointCount	1	T1	1	645284	645245	Point Count Point	40.44044	-111.9853	1	3_5m50_100MFly	7/26/2002
UT_ARNG	Utah Army National Guard	UT_ARNG:1	Camp Williams_PointCount	1	T1	1	645284	645245	Point Count Point	40.44044	-111.9853	1	3_5m50_100MFly	7/4/2003
UT_ARNG	Utah Army National Guard	UT_ARNG:1	Camp Williams_PointCount	1	T1	1	645284	645245	Point Count Point	40.44044	-111.9853	1	3_5m50_100MFly	5/1/1995
UT_ARNG	Utah Army National Guard	UT_ARNG:1	Camp Williams_PointCount	1	T1	1	645284	645245	Point Count Point	40.44044	-111.9853	1	3_5m50_100MFly	7/20/1997

Time	Collector	ScientificName	CommonName	SpeciesCode	PhylogenOrde	TaxonId	DistanceFromObserve	Flyover	InFocalHabitat	HabitatType	DetectionCue	BreedingCode	ObservationCount	NoObservations	RecordPermissions
7:30:00	AL	Poecile atricapillus	Black-capped Chickadee	BCCH	1436	152	75				NR		1	0	AVAILABLE LEVEL 3
10:25:00	AL	Polioptila caerulea	Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	BGGN	1523	211	25				NR		1	0	AVAILABLE LEVEL 3
7:06:00	AL	Polioptila caerulea	Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	BGGN	1523	211	25				NR		1	0	AVAILABLE LEVEL 3
6:15:00		Spizella breweri	Brewer's Sparrow	BRSP	1891	314	25				NR		1	0	AVAILABLE LEVEL 3
7:30:00	AL	Spizella breweri	Brewer's Sparrow	BRSP	1891	314	25				NR		1	0	AVAILABLE LEVEL 3

PROJECT DATA VS. WAREHOUSE DATA

Point Count Data

Same: Species, Count, Protocol, Locations, Detection Cues, Observation Time, Data Sharing Levels

Differences: Binned Distance averaged, Comments missing, no Site Conditions, Observer Names to Initials, no Time Bin

CASE STUDY:

USFS REGION 8, MULTI-PARTNER

- 30-year dataset at-risk -- 13,664 sampling locations
- 1,121,654 point count observation records saved (!!)
- 364,574 site condition records archive
- Innovated -- XML scripts to capture all data field
- Data has been uploaded and a data entry system has been created

CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

(Shirley et al 2013, Betts et al 2014, Halstead et al 2019)



CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

(Shirley et al 2013, Betts et al 2014, Halstead et al 2019)



CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

(Shirley et al 2013, Betts et al 2014, Halstead et al 2019)









CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

(Shirley et al 2013, Betts et al 2014, Halstead et al 2019)





Compared to Land Cover Derived Models:

- High prediction ability
- Wider temporal range
- Avoid uncertainty:
  - misclassification of habitats
  - omission of fine-scale features
  - subtle changes in vegetation



CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

(Shirley et al 2013, Betts et al 2014, Halstead et al 2019)

	Western Study Area	Central Study Area	Eastern Study Area
Number of final survey points	963	1068	3181
Number of species modeled	48	52	66
Number of species >= AUC 0.55	35	36	51
Mean AUC for final models	0.628	0.630	0.628
St. dev. AUC for final models	0.054	0.073	0.067



CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

(Shirley et al 2013, Betts et al 2014, Halstead et al 2019)

DoD Installation

	Western Study Area	Central Study Area	Eastern Study Area
Number of final survey points	963	1068	3181
Number of species modeled	48	52	66
Number of species >= AUC 0.55	35	36	51
Mean AUC for final models	0.628	0.630	0.628
St. dev. AUC for final models	0.054	0.073	0.067


Mean AUC (area under the receiver operator curve) and standard deviation from SDMs
Eastern Study Area -- Mean AUC = 0.628
Mean AUC
1
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
Common Name
Yellow-shafted Flicker
American Redstart
American Crow
Brown-headed Cowbird
Black-throated Green Warbler
Common Yellowthroat
Red-breasted Nuthatch
Black-and-white Warbler
Black-capped Chickadee
White-breasted Nuthatch
Downy Woodpecker
Acadian Flycatcher
Canada Warbler
Mourning Dove
Pileated Woodpecker
Yellow-billed Cuckoo
Wood Thrush
Blue Jay
Carolina Chickadee
Brown-headed Nuthatch
Gray Catbird
Red-eyed Vireo
Worm-eating Warbler
Indigo Bunting
Eastern Towhee
Blue-winged Warbler
Eastern Tufted Titmouse
Hooded Warbler
Northern Parula
Scarlet Tanager
American Robin
Black-headed Vireo
Eastern Wood-pewee
Rose-breasted Grosbeak
Carolina Wren
Red-bellied Woodpecker
Blue-gray Gnatcatcher
Song Sparrow
Field Sparrow
Great-crested Flycatcher
White-eyed Vireo
Ovenbird
Yellow-breasted Chat
Chestnut-sided Warbler
Black-throated Blue Warbler
Northern Cardinal
Summer Tanager
Pine Warbler
Prairie Warbler
Dark-eyed Junco

CASE STUDY:

PINE WARBLER HABITAT DISTRIBUTION -- 2019





DoD Installation

CASE STUDY:
SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

UPDATE- Expanded Modeling Region Using DoD AKN Data





DoD Installation

CASE STUDY:

SPECIES-CENTERED HABITAT MODELING

UPDATE- Expanded Modeling Region Using DoD AKN Data

DATA OWNERSHIP, SHARING, AND CONTRACTORS

DATA OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL

Project Leaders / Organizations are the owner of data from a Project, regardless of where it is stored

Project Leaders can change Data Sharing Levels at any time, including making more restrictive



PARTNERSHIPS AND CONTRACTORS

Partner / Contractors

- DoD collects data for a Partnership
- Hiring independent contractors for survey work

DoD

- Wants to ask questions across DoD
- Needs to manage and control all data on installations

We want data collected on/near DoD installations in DoD Projects

CONTRACTORS & TRAINING

MICHIGAN ARMY NATIONAL GUARD (MIARNG)

- Contracts Kalamazoo Nature Center (KNC) to complete bird surveys
- Wanted to train long-term staff to use AKN
- Attended training and entering data
- Additional benefit, KNC to enter other data, supplementing MIARNG data



DISCOVERING OBSERVATION DATA

EXAMPLE: R.A.I.L. TOOL
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OK

- Biologist was new to AF and did not have any bird data
- Wanted a list of birds on Vance for NEPA and INRMP updates
- RAIL tool available at no cost and without an account

  Integrates data from AKN, Partners in Flight, US Fish and Wildlife, Breeding Bird Survey, eBird, All About Birds, and the Macaulay image library at Cornell

https://data.pointblue.org/apps/rail/

EXAMPLE: R.A.I.L. TOOL

VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OK



EXAMPLE: R.A.I.L. TOOL

VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OK





EXAMPLE: R.A.I.L. TOOL
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OK







NAVIGATING THE R.A.I.L. TOOL
DEMONSTRATION

Tools:

- RAIL Tool

EXERCISE 4: CREATE A SPECIES LIST WITH THE R.A.I.L. TOOL

CREATE A SPECIES LIST WITH THE R.A.I.L. TOOL

EXERCISE 4

Purpose: Get familiarized with the RAIL tool, which can help you find general information about the species in a particular area and their conservation status

Goal: Be comfortable navigating the RAIL tool to find information about species on your installation and understand the limitations of the tool

Thinking Ahead: Consider how RAIL might be helpful for your analyses and reporting

6c. Analyze Observations

CREATE A SPECIES LIST WITH THE R.A.I.L. TOOL

EXERCISE 4

Exercise:

- **Exercise 4 instructions**

6c. Analyze Observations

DOWNLOAD POINT COUNT DATA FROM WAREHOUSE

DEMONSTRATION

Tools:

- **Data Downloader**

BREAK (10 MINS)

NEXT: LOOKING FOR TRENDS

CASE STUDY:

MIGRATORY SHOREBIRD PROJECT

- Largest coordinated effort to monitor wintering shorebirds across all 13 countries of the Pacific Coast of the Americas
- Project goals
  - Wintering Shorebird abundance and distribution
  - Factors influencing shorebird populations
  - Provide science-based management guidance from site to fly-way level

Western Sandpiper, Dam Neck Annex, VA; Credit: Paul Block

CASE STUDY:

MIGRATORY SHOREBIRD PROJECT





About These Maps

Select a species from the map controls. Click on the center of a point to see a simple summary of avian observations at that location by year. Click within a summary area to see observations summarized for that area.

Choose a Species

Select A Species

Data Collection

- [ ] Shorebird/Raptor Observations (Mar–Jun)
- [x] Shorebird/Raptor Observations (Nov–Feb)

Choose the Area to Summarize

- (•) by State/Province (Canada/US/Mexico)
- ( ) by Country

Shorebird observation legend

- 0
- 1 - 10
- 11 - 100
- 101 - 1000
- 1001 - 10000
- 10001 - 50000
- 50000 +

13 visits from 2011—2023

American Kestrel (1)
Black-bellied Plover (1110)
Black Turnstone (11)
Dunlin (14)
Marbled Godwit (633)
Red Knot (100)
Ruddy Turnstone (26)
Sanderling (2776)
Semipalmated Plover (77)
Sharp-shinned Hawk (1)
Snowy Plover (179)
Surfbird (3)
Western Sandpiper (56)
Willet (532)

California (United States of America)

Months: -

1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | All Years |

Aleutian Cackling Goose (225)
Allen's Hummingbird (78)
American Avocet (437618)
American Bittern (1140)
American Black Duck X Mallard Hybrid (2)
American Coot (5843730)
American Crow (1590)
American Golden-Plover (86)
American Green-winged Teal (12110490)
American Kestrel (2881)
American Pipit (387)
American Robin (10)
American White Pelican (9861)
American Wigeon (16921300)
American x Eurasian Wigeon (6)
Anna's Hummingbird (67)
Ashy Storm-Petrel (2)
Atlantic Brant (305)
Baird's Sandpiper (648)

CASE STUDY:

MIGRATORY SHOREBIRD PROJECT

North Island Coronado Beach
Black-bellied plover abundance



CASE STUDY:

MIGRATORY SHOREBIRD PROJECT

- Data collected between November and December every year since 2011
- https://migratoryshorebirdproject.org



CASE STUDY:

MIGRATORY SHOREBIRD PROJECT

San Diego Bay: Wintering shorebird species richness



MANAGING A PROJECT: LOOKING FOR TRENDS



USING THE ANALYST TOOL
DEMONSTRATION

Tools:

- **https://data.pointblue.org/apps/analysts/**

Common Name	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2021
Acadian Flycatcher	6	11	25	12	14	15
Alder Flycatcher	0	0	0	0	1	0
American Crow	41	28	42	29	24	113
American Goldfinch	14	1	5	6	3	16
American Redstart	0	1	0	1	1	2
American Robin	0	0	0	0	1	0
Barred Owl	0	0	0	0	0	1



LOOKING FOR TRENDS

EXERCISE 5

LOOKING FOR TRENDS
EXERCISE 5

Purpose: Introduce you to more data exploration and discovery tools

Goal 1: Understand how to use the Observations Map to find survey locations from different datasets for a particular species and examine species trends

Goal 2: Use the Analyst Application to create a species list, check effort summaries, and examine trends.

Thinking Ahead: Consider how Observations Map may be helpful for your analyses and reporting; consider how the Analyst Application can assist in your data visualization and annual reporting needs.

LOOKING FOR TRENDS
EXERCISE 5

- Exercise:
  - Orientation of **Observation Map**
  - **Exercise 5 instructions**

CASE STUDY:

ASSESSING RELATIVE CONSERVATION RESPONSIBILITY



CASE STUDY:

ASSESSING RELATIVE CONSERVATION RESPONSIBILITY – NORTHERN BOBWHITE

AKN Analyst output

Fort Campbell



CASE STUDY:

ASSESSING RELATIVE CONSERVATION RESPONSIBILITY – NORTHERN BOBWHITE

Which DoD installations have the most detections?





CASE STUDY:

ASSESSING RELATIVE CONSERVATION RESPONSIBILITY – NORTHERN BOBWHITE

Across protected areas?





CASE STUDY:

ASSESSING RELATIVE CONSERVATION RESPONSIBILITY – NORTHERN BOBWHITE

Across jurisdictions?





CASE STUDY:

ASSESSING RELATIVE CONSERVATION RESPONSIBILITY

Avian Distributions Across Land Ownerships (ADALO) Tool Expansion

- Use **AKN data** in conjunction with **NASA remote sensing products** to model avian distribution and abundance across the U.S.
- Incorporate **DoD AKN data** for Mission-Sensitive Species





*Proposal **through NASA Research Opportunities in Space and Earth Science (ROSES) – ACCEPTED!***

CLASS PICTURE!!

WHAT SHOULD YOU EXPECT THIS YEAR WITH AKN AND DoD PARTICIPATION?

DoD AKN Program

Task Categories

OSD AND MILITARY SERVICES SUPPORT

- ***Office of Secretary of Defense and Military Service Support***
  - Megan Scanlin & Michael Langston – Office of Secretary of Defense
  - Karla Meyer – Air Force
  - Eric Beckley – Army
  - Jacque Rice – Marine Corps
  - Tammy Conkle & Tom Mayes – Navy
  - Alisa Dickson – National Guard
- ***DoD Support Memo***
  - Signed 24 June 2022
  - Mandate use DoD-wide
- ***DoD-wide Cooperative Agreement***
  - Allows oversight of all AKN actions
  - Cost-share actions
  - Enable Military Services and installations to empower smaller amounts of $$
  - Allows MIPR for funding our program partners



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
3400 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3400

ENERGY, INSTALLATIONS, AND ENVIRONMENT

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
(ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
(ENVIRONMENT AND MISSION READINESS)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE
(ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND INFRASTRUCTURE)

SUBJECT: Department of Defense Avian Knowledge Network Program

The Department of Defense (DoD), like other federal agencies, has significant regulatory, management, and stewardship responsibilities related to migratory birds. These requirements are driven primarily by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), the "Military Readiness Rule" (50 CFR § 21.15, Authorization of take incidental to military readiness activities) and Executive Order (EO) 13186 "Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds." In accordance with EO 13186, DoD has also established a Memorandum of Understanding with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service outlining the management and stewardship activities DoD will implement for migratory bird conservation. All DoD natural resources conservation programs support DoD access to its land, air, and water resources for realistic military training and testing and to sustain the long-term ecological integrity of the resource base and the ecosystem services it provides, in accordance with the Sikes Act. Collecting data and information from ongoing surveys, inventories, and monitoring are essential to make informed management decisions, efficiently and effectively meet regulatory requirements (e.g., the MBTA, the Sikes Act), conduct environmental analyses, and support planning to adaptively manage migratory bird populations in the context of mission activities. As such, the DoD spends millions of dollars annually to collect these data.

However, even with the collection of large amounts of data, DoD faces significant challenges to fully utilize and optimize our avian data. These challenges include: (1) inefficient access to data for regulatory requirements, environmental analyses, and planning; (2) a lack of visibility on avian species population trends and management across the Military Services and broader landscapes; and (3) a lack of a centralized, secure data repository resulting in data loss during personnel turnover.

To address these challenges, DoD began partnering with other federal agencies (i.e., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service) in the development of the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN) in 2016. The AKN is a national clearinghouse for avian data and decision support tool for assessing bird population health, status and trends, specific stressors, and conservation measures. The AKN connects partner datasets, includes metadata and data assumptions, contains powerful data analysis tools, and is a permanent archive of all data records.

This office fully endorses the use of AKN and requests that each DoD Component utilize AKN to the maximum extent practicable and provide staff the support needed to make AKN the best tool for DoD. A coordinated and comprehensive approach to implement DoD's participation in the AKN will directly support the military mission and improve the quality and effectiveness of bird conservation on DoD installations. For DoD to fully employ the power of AKN, user training and significant initial data management is required. This office, through the DoD Legacy Resource Management Program, is committed to providing baseline support and resources to help implement AKN. This support will provide training and education for personnel, and technical assistance related to system use and data management. The DoD AKN Director is Ms. Elizabeth Neiport, at elizabeth.s.neiport@erdc.dren.mil or 907-201-6244.

Additionally, a national Cooperative Agreement has been established to support AKN implementation. It provides a streamlined process for DoD Components and installations to contribute additional resources to meet their unique needs.

The point of contact for this office is Ms. Liz Galli-Noble, DoD Senior Natural Resources Program Manager and Legacy Resource Management Program Manager, elizabeth.j.galli-noble.civ@mail.mil or 406-581-8148

KIDD.RICHARD.GO
Richard G. Kidd IV
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Environment and Energy Resilience)

2

MILITARY SERVICE ACTIONS

Air Force

- ✓ One virtual and one in-person training
- ✓ USDA BASH data workflow
- ✓ GIS data workflow
- ✓ MSS Tool
- ✓ Implicit/explicit zero data
- ✓ Priority data uploads/support
- ✓ Methodology consulting for INRMP objectives

Navy

- ✓ Data prioritization
- ✓ Project creation
- ✓ Data support and upload

National Guard

- ✓ Camp Williams (MAARNG) Training August 2025
- ✓ Work with states for other tasks

Marine Corps

- ✓ Funding for Program Enterprise
- ✓ Initial funding for:
- ✓ Contractor Role
- ✓ MSS Query Tool
- ✓ MSS monitoring & data support
- ✓ Historical & contemporary data support

Army

- ✓ *Data Discovery and Training Survey*
- ✓ *Building a 5-year plan*
- ✓ *Timeline for 100% compliance*
- ✓ *Two virtual trainings*

MILITARY SERVICE PROGRESS

Installations with Active Projects by Service Branch

SEPT 2024



Total Number of Installations: **63** Army, **78** Navy, **96** Air Force, **17** Marine Corps, **54** National Guard states/territories

Dark colors - Installations with Active Projects

Light colors - Installations without Active Projects

MILITARY SERVICE PROGRESS

Installations with Active Projects by Service Branch

AUGUST 2025



Total Number of Installations: **63** Army, **78** Navy, **96** Air Force, **17** Marine Corps, **54** National Guard states/territories

Dark colors - Installations with Active Projects

Light colors - Installations without Active Projects

MILITARY SERVICE PROGRESS

Installations with Contemporary Data by Service Branch

SEPT 2024



Total Number of Installations: **146** Army, **82** Navy, **96** Air Force, **17** Marine Corps, **54** National Guard states/territories

Dark colors - Installations with Contemporary Data

Light colors - Installations without Contemporary Data

MILITARY SERVICE PROGRESS

Installations with Contemporary Data by Service Branch

AUGUST 2025



Total Number of Installations: **146** Army, **82** Navy, **96** Air Force, **17** Marine Corps, **54** National Guard states/territories

Dark colors - Installations with Contemporary Data

Light colors - Installations without Contemporary Data

MILITARY SERVICE PROGRESS

DoD Data Records in AKN by Military Service





Total Number of Data Records: **1,025,738**

MILITARY SERVICE PROGRESS

DoD Data Records in AKN by Military Service

Check out the latest DoD AKN Program Progress on our new Progress Dashboard



https://www.dodakn.org/dod-akn-progress-dashboard/

DOD TOOLS

Standardized Sampling Methods

- Survey DoD personnel to identify the most common bird monitoring questions
- Promote standardized data collection methods across DoD
- Provide appropriate protocol selection based on management or research needs

DOD TOOLS

Standardized Sampling Methods

SPECIES CHECKLIST

Species checklists are a simple way to record both incidental and comprehensive observations of bird species observed on your installation that don't fit a traditionally structured survey (e.g., point counts, line transects, etc.).

CHRISTMAS BIRD COUNT

The Christmas Bird Count (CBC) is a citizen science project that involves counting birds in the Western Hemisphere. Click here to learn how to incorporate CBC data collected on your installation into your AKN Project here.

EBIRD CHECKLISTS

eBird

eBird is a powerful community science tool run by Cornell Lab of Ornithology that collects observational data from birders. Click here to learn how to incorporate eBird checklist data collected on your installation in your AKN Project.

POINT COUNT METHODS

Click here to learn about Point Count methodologies including their assumptions, typical use cases, and examples of commonly used methodologies and their AKN Protocols.

AREA SEARCH METHODS

Click here to learn about Area Search methodologies including their assumptions, typical use cases, and examples of commonly used methodologies and their AKN Protocols.

DOD MISSION-SENSITIVE SPECIES


Northern Bobwhite


Greater Sage-grouse


Mountain Plover


Greater Prairie Chicken


Burrowing Owl


Least Tern (Atlantic Coast)


Cerulean Warbler


Golden-winged Warbler


Pinyon Jay


Southeastern American Kestrel


Henslow's Sparrow


Rusty Blackbird


Bendire's Thrasher


Tricolored Blackbird


Bachman's Sparrow

DoD MSS - Filters



Portal Announcement



- Homogenized data
- 30 installations
- Survey details

DOD MISSION-SENSITIVE SPECIES

Species	# of Installations
Greater Sage-Grouse	6
Greater Prairie-Chicken	3
Northern Bobwhite	70
Southeastern American Kestrel	14
~~Black Rail**~~	~~5~~
Mountain Plover	16
Burrowing Owl	50
Least Tern (Atlantic Coast Pop)	18
Pinyon Jay	7
Bendire's Thrasher	4
Golden-winged Warbler	24
Cerulean Warbler	30
Bachman's Sparrow	24
Henslow's Sparrow	25
Tricolored Blackbird	15
Rusty Blackbird	30

DOD AKN MSS 4-PRONGED APPROACH

- ✓FOCUS GROUP
- ✓AKN PROTOCOLS
- ✓DATA
- ~~✓MSS QUERY TOOL IN AKN~~

DOD MISSION-SENSITIVE SPECIES

DOD MISSION-SENSITIVE SPECIES

Pinyon Jay Survey Protocol for Landscape Applications (PIJA Working Group 2023)

Area Search survey based on 2.5km grids, available in AKN

DoD identified need for road-based point count protocol for rapid assessment at larger scales

Road-based point count protocol, available in AKN

Both field methods posted on DoD AKN Portal

https://www.dodakn.org/resources/mss/#MSS_PIJA

DOD MISSION-SENSITIVE SPECIES

Standardized Monitoring Strategies for Burrowing Owls on DoD Installations (Garcia et al 2008)

Guidelines and Recommendations for Burrowing Owl Surveys and Mitigation (California Burrowing Owl Consortium 1993)

Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (California Department of Fish and Game 2012)

Comparison of Detection Probability Associated with Burrowing Owl Survey Methods (Conway and Simon, 2003)

Focus Group SMEs:

Courtney Conway, *USGS ID Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit*
Sandra Menzel, *Talon Ecological Research Group*
David H. Johnson, *Global Owl Project*
Kevin Warner, *Idaho Army National Guard*
Colin Leingang, *JBLM Yakima Training Center*
Russ Lawrence, *Hill AFB and Utah Test and Training Range*

DOD MISSION-SENSITIVE SPECIES



- Determine monitoring objectives
- Identify data needs based on questions
- Methods and study design considerations
- Sampling effort based on monitoring objectives
- Field methods and AKN data structure



Burrowing Owl Monitoring Objectives
Protocol and Data Structures for DoD AKN Program
Version 1.0
February 19, 2025

Overview and Purpose

This document was developed for the Department of Defense (DoD) Avian Knowledge Network (AKN) Program in an effort to compile survey methodology and data structure into one document to provide recommendations for standard protocols for surveying Burrowing Owls on DoD installations . Burrowing Owl is one of 15 bird species that occur on DoD installations that has been identified as a Mission-Sensitive Species (MSS) by DoD. MSS have the hi
they become Federally listed. The MSS list is desig
management on DoD installations to help reverse
species, identify potential mission impacts, and p
based on the best available science.

To support using the best available science to aid
management of MSS on DoD installations, one of
Initiative includes recommending standardized m
providing support for data entry and managemen
group of subject matter experts from within and
monitoring protocols for Burrowing Owl monitori

Scope and Limitations

This document is intended to provide guidance o
monitoring objectives outlined in Table 1. Additio
banding, or demography studies, are outside the

While we recommend following the data structur
Network Data Structure", we understand that occ
outlined here, and for that reason may want to c
protocol definitions. It is important to recognize
outlined in this document represent the minimun
these standards are 1) to provide recommended
designing a monitoring program for Burrowing O
comparison of Burrowing Owl monitoring data ac
collect data beyond the standards outlined in this
structures at a minimum to contribute to this effo
needed to meet their monitoring or analytical ob

Acknowledgments

This document summarizes focus group meetings from August 2023 – May 2024 that included members of the DoD AKN Program team (Caitlyn Gillespie (Klamath Bird Observatory), John Alexander (Klamath

Point Count Data Form

Page 1 of 1

Study Area	Site Code	Month	Day	Year	Visit	Observer
East Training Area	ETA1	05	24	2024	1	CRG

Point #	Start Time	Species Code	<100m	101-200m	201-300m	>300m	Age	At Burrow?	Notes
01	0556	BUOW	1				A	Y	
02	0611	NONE							
03	0621	BUOW		1			U	U	
03	0621	BUOW	2				A	U	

Code Lists
Age: **A** (Adult), **J** (Juvenile), **U** (Unknown)
At Burrow: **Y** (Yes), **N** (No), **U** (Unknown)

DoD DATA NEEDS

- BASH Data, specifically data collected by USDA-WS
- Banding data, including MAPS
- Nest data
- Spot-mapping
- Sensor and machine-collected data (ARUs, Motus)
- Survey 123 cross-walk directly into AKN
- Foreign OCONUS data

SCOPE OF WORK LANGUAGE

for Contracts, Cooperative Agreements and Interagency Agreements



DoD AKN Guidance:

1. Avian Knowledge Network Data Sharing Guidelines
2. Describing Sampling Protocol Definition for AKN Compatible Projects
3. Sampling Protocol Definition Template
4. Describing Field Methods and Sampling Design
5. Creating AKN Compatible Sampling Units
6. Sampling Unit Bulk Upload Templates
7. AKN Data Access, Entry, and Analysis
8. Preparing Data to Submit for Bulk Uploading for AKN Compatible Projects

https://www.dodakn.org/resources/contract-language/

SCOPE OF WORK LANGUAGE

for Contracts, Cooperative Agreements and Interagency Agreements

AVIAN KNOWLEDGE NETWORK

Leveraging Partnerships, Data and Technology Information Revolutionize Avian Conservation and Management

Statement of Work Language for Department of Defense Service Contracts, Grants, and Cooperative Agreements

DRAFT – February 2023

Introduction

To improve quality, access, and usability of avian survey, monitoring, and research data collected for Department of Defense (DoD), contractors and cooperators collecting such data use the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN) to enter, proof, and deliver their data. Here we provide guidance for developing statement of work language for contracts and agreements to specify such use of the AKN by contractors and/or cooperators. Specifications may vary based on whether the DoD is acquiring data from a contractor via a service contract or from a cooperator via a grant or cooperative agreement. The DoD and AKN are also developing new capacities, including a Program Enterprise System and additional user access specifications that will influence how the DoD specifies AKN use in contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements.

Following are details to be included in the "Statement of Work" section of a service contract, grant, or cooperator agreement. There are multiple options for data access, project set-up, and data entry for users with AKN training or for contractors/cooperators who do not have AKN training but will need to submit their project data in AKN compatible formats.

Terms used in Statement of Work (SOW) language

AKN Project—Fundamental unit of organization for observation data within the AKN. Projects contain information about how (Protocols), who (Researchers and Users), where (Sampling Units), when (Sampling Events), and what (Sampling Event Observations) data are collected. For DoD, each installation has its own project.
Sampling Protocol Definition—Defines how the data were collected and how they are stored.
Contractor/Cooperator—Party within the agreement that is primarily responsible for data collection and data entry.
DoD Designee—Primary DoD point of contact for contract terms. Can also specify an DoD AKN staff member if AKN staff will be working with the Contractor/Cooperator.
Field Methods—Protocol for data collection in the field used by the Contractor/Cooperator.
User Roles—The AKN allows for multiple levels of access to enter, manage, and share data. AKN users are assigned a User Role associated with each AKN Project they have permission to access. Current User Roles include:

- **Biologist**—An AKN user assigned as a Biologist in an AKN Project can enter and proof data. Biologists can also review, edit, or correct previously entered data in any dataset housed within the AKN Project.
- **Project Leader**—In addition to all Biologist User Role capacities, AKN users assigned as a Project Leader in an AKN Project can assign Sampling Protocol Definitions to an AKN Project, manage the Sampling Units (areas, points, transects, etc), manage data, get full exports of the AKN Project data from the system, and manage the User Roles (Biologist or Project Leader) of other AKN users on the AKN Project. Project Leaders can also set the desired data sharing levels for data, which determines how the data will appear in the various tools in AKN.

Sampling Unit—Field location where research or a survey is conducted and samples (observations) are collected. Sampling units are arranged hierarchically within AKN Projects (e.g. point count points nested within a transect).



AVIAN KNOWLEDGE NETWORK

Sampling Units contain a location name and geometry that describes where it sits on the earth. All point, polygon and other geographic data are described in WGS-84 Latitude-Longitude (EPSG:4326) geographical coordinate system.
Sampling Event— Represents the survey itself with a unique combination of location, date and time, person/people, and the protocol employed.

Statement of Work Language

The statement of work should specify standards for 1) data ownership and access 2) selecting sampling methods and AKN Sampling Protocol Definition(s), 3) providing details about sampling locations, 4) formats for uploading data. A user with AKN training may engage with the AKN directly as part of each of these steps; however, options are provided below for each step that allow for a Contractor/Cooperator without AKN training to provide the information in a format that is easily uploaded into the AKN by a DoD Designee. Information provided must be fully described per the AKN Guidance documents referenced in each step below.

DATA OWNERSHIP: DoD must have permanent access to all data collected for the agreement.

- SOW LANGUAGE: DoD owns and will have permanent access to all data collected for the agreement. The observation data (not summarized data) must be entered into the AKN and approved by the appropriate Project Leader at the appropriate sharing level (see DoD AKN Guidance: AKN Data Access, Entry, and Analysis for sharing level definitions). Data may be shared with the Contractor/Cooperator following DoD AKN Guidance: AKN Data Sharing Guidelines with specifics outlined in an AKN Data Sharing Agreement [DoD Designee PROVIDES ATTACHMENT].

DATA COLLECTION: Specify whether DoD or the Contractor/Cooperator will choose the sampling methods (CHECK ONE):

☐ DoD Designee will specify the sampling methods to be used and corresponding Sampling Protocol Definition.
- SOW LANGUAGE: Contractor/Cooperator will use [FIELD METHOD WITH CITATION] with associated field forms [DoD Designee PROVIDES ATTACHMENT]. The data collected on field forms will conform to the metadata specified by the methodology and associated Sampling Protocol Definition provided at [DoD Designee provides AKN link].

☐ Contractor/Cooperator will specify the sampling methods to be used and corresponding Sampling Protocol Definition. (Only use this option if the Contractor/Cooperator knows there is a corresponding Sampling Protocol Definition in the AKN).
- SOW LANGUAGE: Contractor/Cooperator will provide sampling methods with associated field forms and a link to the associated Sampling Protocol Definition here https://data.pointblue.org/science/biologists/php/protocolsearch.php. Instructions for describing metadata are provided in DoD AKN Guidance: Describing Sampling Protocol Definitions and instructions for providing sampling methods is provided in DoD AKN Guidance: Describing Field Methods and Sampling Design.

☐ Contractor/Cooperator will specify sampling methods to be used that does not have a corresponding AKN Sampling Protocol Definition.

2

DoD AKN Guidance:

1. Avian Knowledge Network Data Sharing Guidelines
2. Describing Sampling Protocol Definition for AKN Compatible Projects
3. Sampling Protocol Definition Template
4. Describing Field Methods and Sampling Design
5. Creating AKN Compatible Sampling Units
6. Sampling Unit Bulk Upload Templates
7. AKN Data Access, Entry, and Analysis
8. Preparing Data to Submit for Bulk Uploading for AKN Compatible Projects

https://www.dodakn.org/resources/contract-language/

DOD AKN USER GUIDE

Detailed Step-by-step Instructions for DoD Use; Supplements Training





- Getting Started
- Managing Project
- Getting Data In
- Getting Data Out
- Exploring Data
- DoD Glossary

https://www.dodakn.org/resources/dod-akn-user-guide/



AKN TOOLS

Enterprise Support for Programs

Need: Data curation, management, and analysis at multiple scales (installation, Military Service, and DoD-wide)

Solution: AKN-wide structure allowing multiple Project grouping via Programs.

Plan: Build new technology, to create/manage Programs, especially for query and download of project data

Status: In prototype phase
I. Beta test of Program download tool
II.Prototype of Program warehouse

Notable DoD Program Data Stats:

- Total Data Records: **1,025,738**
- Total Number of Individual Birds Recorded: **96,392,978**
- Oldest data record: **1973**
- Unique Species Recorded:

AKN TOOLS

Henslow's Sparrow Trends Across Enterprise Scales



Installations



Military Service Branch



Department of Defense



CASE STUDY:

PROGRAM ENTERPRISE – COLONIAL WATERBIRDS

- CWB data collected across **several states and provinces**
- Survey **methods vary** (e.g., some count pairs, some nests), stored in many **different formats**
- **Lack of visibility** on species data and numbers state-wide, range-wide

Great Egret (rookery); Fort Stewart; Photo credit: Paul Block

CASE STUDY:

PROGRAM ENTERPRISE – COLONIAL WATERBIRDS



PACIFIC FLYWAY COLONIAL WATERBIRD SURVEY

General Information

Colony Name | Colony Code (if known) | Coordinates (WGS84) Latitude: Longitude:

Survey Date | Start Time | End Time | Status* (check 1): Active ☐ Inactive ☐

Primary Observer Name | Email | Telephone # | Agency/Affiliation

Survey Information

Survey Method/Vantage Point (check 1): ☐ Perimeter ☐ Boat ☐ Aerial Photo ☐ Direct Aerial ☐ Within-colony ☐ Other

Survey Type (check 1): ☐ Full (complete) survey ☐ Partial survey % of Colony_____

Count Information

Species	Survey Target**	Count #	Number Observed: Nests	Adults	Young	Estimated Breeding Pairs

*Status - Active = Breeding (nest building, courtship, incubation, eggs, or chicks observed)
Inactive = Non-breeding (no active nests, eggs, or chicks)

**Survey Target - N = P =

Comments (other nesting species, predators, presence of banded birds):

Colony Site Information

State | County | General

Nesting habitat: ground, trees, manmade structure | Land Own

Mississippi Flyway Colonial Waterbird Survey 2018

COLONY NAME

COLONY CODE | COLONY (check one): Active ☐ Inactive ☐

SURVEY DATE (month - day - year) | DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHS: No ☐ Yes ☐

OBSERVER(S):

Primary: (Last Name, First, MI)

Email | Telephone

Cooperators:

SURVEY VANTAGE POINT (Check one): on-site visit ☐ from boat ☐ perimeter ☐ Aerial survey ☐ Time: start: end:

Species	Predominant Reproductive Stage (enter a code from box below)	Survey Type (enter a code from box below): Nests	Adults	Number Adults	Total Number Nests	Number Active Nests	Number Young Seen	Estimated Breeding Pairs
Dbl-cr. Cormorant								
Great Blue Heron								
Great Egret								
Bl.-cr. Night-Heron								
Cattle Egret								
Little Blue Heron								
Anhinga								
Green Heron								
Snowy Egret								
Y.-cr. Night-Heron								
Other:								

Survey Type Codes: Actual Count = 1; Visual Estimate = 2; Sampling = 3; Other = 0 (_____)

Stage Codes: Pre-nesting (standing around in colony = 1; Courtship = 2; Nest building = 3; Incubation = 4; Nestling = 5; Unfledged Young = 6; Fledgling = 7; Adult = 8)

Comments/Other Notable Species:

COLONY SITE DESCRIPTION: County: | Size: Hectares (acres@.25)

Latitude: | Longitude:

Explain how (colony) and where standing in relation to (colony) (using GPS (NAD 83)):

Nearest City/Town: | Distance: miles | Direction from town to colony:



Sampling Protocol Definition(s)

- CWB_ATLANTIC_FLYWAY
- CWB_ATLANTIC_FLYWAY_CONDITION

Colonial Waterbird Program Structure



Program-based multi-scaled data access, analysis, download & outputs



Flexibility with data analysis

Sharing controlled at the Project database (or observation) level, can choose to share or not

Scaled conservation actions targeted where most needed

DoD Program Structure

Air Force Subprogram — Installation Project Databases

Army Subprogram — Installation Project Databases

Navy Subprogram — Installation Project Databases

Marine Subprogram — Installation Project Databases

National Guard Subprogram — Installation Project Databases

DoD Program

Other Agency Project Databases

Other Partner Databases

Other Projects & Programs

Flexibility with data analysis

Sharing controlled at the Project database (or observation) level, can choose to share or not

Scaled conservation actions targeted where most needed

AKN TOOLS

More Flexible User Roles

Need: Roles in the system that meet requirements for data entry and/or analysis for portions of AKN projects

Solution: Creation of two new user roles that meet identified needs

Plan: Create two new roles

I. **Contractor Role** - support a contractor requiring access (data entry, data download, data analysis) to a portion of Project

II. **Analyst Role** - allow full access to a Project or Program for data download and analysis, w/o ability to manage metadata or user access.

Status: Needs and roles identified, Will begin upon completion of the Enterprise Support for Programs

AVIAN KNOWLEDGE NETWORK

Driving Avian Data Questions

1. What species have confirmed occurrence on my installation?
2. What species have the potential to occur on my installation?
3. What species may occur/persist on my installation in the future?
4. How are species “doing” (population metrics) on my installation? (AKA "Is my INRMP effective?")
   How are species doing across the military? (AKA "Is the DoD conservation program effective?")
5. What is driving population trends on my installation? Are there conservation measures/actions within my INRMP that are working more than others to reach identified conservation objectives?
6. What is the relative conservation responsibility of avian species on military installations?

FY25 PRIORITY TASKS

Base Support
- Program Coordination
- Installation Consulting (Office Hours)
- Back-end Technical Support
- Customer Data Support
- Reporting: Annual, Qtrly & Factsheets
- Annual Support Costs (Science Cloud)

Training
- Regional Training (4x/year)
- Service-specific Trainings
- Manager Training Modules
- Expanded Methodology Training
- Training Videos

AKN Tools (Partner Initiatives)
- Enterprise Support for Programs
- Creation of Contractor Role
- Creation of Analyst Role
- New Analyst v3 in Shiny

Data Initiatives
- MSS Protocols & Data
- Banding Data in Science Cloud
- BASH Data in Science Cloud
- Machine/sensor-collected Data
- Decision-support Tools & Publications

DoD-Specific Tools
- Conservation Responsibility
- Management Program Update
- Standardizing DoD Bird Monitoring
- Mission-sensitive Species Tools
- Operations Sustainment Tool

Military Services & Installation Support
- Historical/Contemporary Data Support
- Custom Data Outputs
- Direct Data Initiatives
- Case Study Development

WHAT UPDATES, INITIATIVES, TOOLS DO YOU WANT TO SEE?

NEXT STEPS FOR YOU

SIGN-UP FOR DoD AKN UPDATES



https://www.dodakn.org/resources/join-the-dod-akn-program-contact-list/



UPDATED AKN WEBSITE





ONGOING SUPPORT

- ***Monthly Virtual Office Hours***
  - Monthly "Office Hours"
  - Calendar and signups **here**

- ***Other Opportunities***
  - Training videos on AKN YouTube Channel (https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCi9in_tC9uTZa9Bo3HgnY1Q/featured)
  - Funded data initiatives from Military Services

https://www.dodakn.org/get-training/

Training Course Site	Select the link below to access the Training Course Site which gives you access to the current and archived course agendas and materials including training slides and course exercises. **Current**: https://pointblue.github.io/dod_workshop/ **Archive**: https://pointblue.github.io/dod_workshop/archive.html

Questions, thoughts, suggestions in the future?
Contact our team!

DoDAKN@erdc.dren.mil

PLANNIN EYS

- Start with derations:
  - Presence/ anticipated
  - Occupancy son-hours, etc.)
  - Abundanc tability
  - Trend g, species
  - How do th lysis and Space/hab etrics managem

Office Hours: Project Set Up in the AKN

New Project Questionnaire

Name:

Installation:

Email Address:

1) Please provide a short summary of the scope of your project. What are the objectives/questions for your project?

2) What survey type do you conduct? (e.g., Point Count, Area Search, Line Transect, etc.)

3) **Sampling Units**: How are your surveys organized? How do you select your sampling locations? Briefly describe your study design.

    a. If point counts, are they grouped into transects, or are all points independent? Y or N

4) **Observation Protocol**: Describe your field methods. Do you have a written protocol or data dictionary? Y or N
    a. Species
    b. Length of survey
    c. Time Bins
    d. Distance—exact or binned?
    e. Detection cues—do you record this? Y or N
        - If so, what detection cues do you use (e.g., call, song, visual, wing, etc.)?
        - If so, all types or just first detected?

END-TO-END PROCESS TO REGISTER A NEW USER

DEMONSTRATION

Red-cockaded Woodpecker, Camp Lejeuene; Photo Credit: Kevin Rose

STEP 1: NEW USER REQUESTS AN ACCOUNT





STEP 2: VERIFY ACCOUNT

datasolutions@pointblue.org

13-03-202

Subject: Please confirm your registration with DOD_DEMO

Confirm Your Registration with DOD_DEMO

DOD_DEMO User Name: DoDTest@akn.org

Thank you for registering your account with DOD_DEMO

Before you are able to use your new account, you must click the link belowto validate your e-mail address and complete your registration:

https://data.pointblue.org/apps/public/deju.php?p=NewuserConfirm&tt=__tmpr65f1ee1b28d6d&hs=YjQ0WnowTmNMVGZ3adc&deju_nextPage=nu-confirm

If you have any problems or questions, please contact us at support@pointt

Point Blue Science Cloud

Email confirmation

Please use the button below to complete your email confirmation.

Confirm my email

Point Blue Science Cloud

Email successfully confirmed

Thank you for successfully confirming your email address.

An email was sent to the project leader(s) of your requested project for approval.

Once your project access has been approved you will receive an email with information about how to log into the system.

STEP 3: PROJECT LEADER APPROVAL



OAKN new user request to join DOD_DEMO - Message (HT...

Search the menus and more

File Message Help Acrobat

Share to Teams | All Apps | Mark Unread | Find | Zoom | Save Attachments

OAKN new user request to join DOD_DEMO

datasolutions@pointblue.org
To crg; Dianne Miller

Reply | Reply All | Forward

Wed 3/13/2024 11:35 AM

If there are problems with how this message is displayed, click here to view it in a web browser.
Click here to download pictures. To help protect your privacy, Outlook prevented automatic download of some pictures in this message.

Start your reply all with: You should have access now. | I accept! | Permission granted! | Feedback

WARNING, this message has originated from an external source.

AKN new user request to join DOD_DEMO

The following person is requesting access:

Name: TestUser, DoD Email: DoDTest@akn.org Project: DOD_DEMO

To approve or deny this request, click on this link: https://data.pointblue.org/apps/projectleaders/index.php?page=appr-new-p&deju_rid=27045&deju_hs=YjQ0WnowTmNMVGZ3dw%3D%3D&deju_registration_host=cadc&deju_pr=DOD_DEMO

All the best, Your Avian Data Center

STEP 3: PROJECT LEADER APPROVAL

THIS IS WHERE YOU COME IN



BIOLOGISTS VIEW



INACTIVE ACCOUNT



OPEN FORUM

PLUSES AND DELTAS

WORKSHOP WRAP-UP

DoD and the AKN: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How

DoD AKN Quarterly Regional Training
19-21 August 2025
Camp Edwards, MAARNG, Bourne, MA

Sam Veloz
Dianne Miller

Elizabeth Neipert
Zoe Duran

Caitlyn Gillespie
Nora Honkomp

Point Blue Conservation Science

ERDC ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Klamath Bird Observatory

Northern Parula, Indian Head, MD; Photo: Seth Berry


DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
LEGACY PROGRAM
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
NATURAL RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
AVIAN
KNOWLEDGE NETWORK
THANK YOU!!
Point Blue
Conservation
Science
ERDC
ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER
Klamath Bird
Observatory